arXiv:1302.6455v1 [math.AP] 26 Feb 2013

EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS FOR NONLINEAR ANISOTROPIC ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

R. DI NARDO AND F. FEO

Abstract. We study the existence and uniqueness for weak solutions to some classes of anisotropic elliptic Dirichlet problems with data belonging to the natural dual space.

1. Introduction

In the present paper we study the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to some classes of anisotropic elliptic equations with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.
Let us consider the following model problem

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_{x_i}(a_i(x,u)(\varepsilon+|\partial_{x_i}u|^2)^{\frac{p_i-2}{2}}\partial_{x_i}u)=f-\partial_{x_i}g_i & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ u=0 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where Ω is a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ with Lipschitz continuous boundary, $N \geq 2$, $1 < p_1, \ldots, p_N < +\infty$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, $a_1, ..., a_N$ are Carathéodory functions, $g_1, .., g_N$ and f are functions belonging to suitable Lebesgue spaces.

The anisotropy of the problem is due to the growth in each partial derivative controlled by different powers. The interest in studying such operators are motivated by their applications to the mathematical modeling of physical and mechanical processes in anisotropic continuous medium.

The existence and regularity of weak solutions or solutions in the distributional sense to Problem (1.1) with $g_i \equiv 0$ have been studied in [22] when $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ with $m > 1$, in [14] when datum f belongs to Marcinkiewicz spaces and in [6] for measure data. In [11] a comparison theorem and the derived a priori estimates are established via symmetrization methods. Some uniqueness results for Problem (1.1) have been obtained in [2] for weak solutions and data belonging to the dual of the anisotropic Sobolev space. Moreover when the datum f is only integrable the uniqueness of a renormalized solution is proved in [17].

As far as the uniqueness of a weak solution to Problem (1.1) is concerned, when $\varepsilon = 0$ and at least one p_i is less or equals to 2 in this paper we obtain the same uniqueness result of [2] by a different method. Instead we improve the result of [2] when $\varepsilon > 0$ and every p_i is greater than 2. The main tools in our proofs are Poincaré inequality and the embedding for the anisotropic Sobolev spaces.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A02, 35A01.

Key words and phrases. Existence, Uniqueness, Weak solutions, A priori estimates, Anisotropic elliptic equations.

We also consider a class of anisotropic equations with a first order term, whose prototype is

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_{x_i}\left(a(x,u)(\varepsilon+|\partial_{x_i}u|^2)^{\frac{p_i-2}{2}}\partial_{x_i}u\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N}b_i\,|\partial_{x_i}u|^{p_i-1}=f-\partial_{x_i}g_i & \text{in } \Omega \\ u=0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where b_i belong to suitable Lebesgue spaces for $i=1,..,N$. To our knowledge, such a problem is not still deeply studied. As far as the existence of a weak solution is concerned, the presence of a lower order term produces a lack of coerciveness, which does not allow to use the classical methods. Here we prove the existence of a weak solution to Problem (1.2). As usual the main step in the proof is an a priori estimate. In order to avoid the assumption on smallness of the norm of the coefficients b_i (that implies the coerciveness of the operator), we adapt the method used in [8] (see also [3], [15], [19] and [20]), which consists in splitting the domain Ω in a finite number of small domains $\Omega_1,..,\Omega_t$ in such a way to have small norms of the coefficients on Ω_σ for $\sigma=1,..,t$. Finally we consider a different class of anisotropic operator, whose lower order term satisfy a Lipschitz condition in order to obtain same uniqueness results following the idea of [1].

Problems (1.1) and (1.2) have been studied in the isotropic case by many authors. We just mention some of these papers: [4], [10], [12] and [13] for existence and regularity of weak solutions and [1], [9], [21] and [24] for the uniqueness (see also the references therein).

The paper is organized as follows. In § 2 we recall the standard framework of anisotropic Sobolev spaces, we detail the assumptions and we give the notion of weak solution. In § 3 we study the case of strongly monotone operator. Finally we investigate Problem (1.2): in §4.1 we prove the existence of at least a weak solution and in § 4.2 we prove some uniqueness results.

2. Definitions, assumptions and preliminaries results

2.1. **Anisotropic Sobolev spaces.** Let Ω be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ ($N\geq 2$) with Lipschitz continuous boundary and let $1<p_1,\ldots,p_N<\infty$ be N real numbers. The anisotropic space (see e.g. [25])

$$W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}(\Omega)=\left\{u\in W^{1,1}(\Omega):\partial_{x_i}u\in L^{p_i}(\Omega),i=1,...,N\right\}$$

is a Banach space with respect to norm $\|u\|_{W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}(\Omega)}=\|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\partial_{x_i}u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}$.

The space $W_0^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to this norm.

We recall a Poincaré-type inequality. Let $u\in W_0^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}(\Omega)$, then for every $q\geq 1$ there exists a constant C_P (depending on q and i) such that (see [18])

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}\leq\ C_P\,\|\partial_{x_i}u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}\quad\text{for } i=1,\ldots,N. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover a Sobolev-type inequality holds. Let us denote by $\overline{p}$ the harmonic mean of these numbers, i.e. $\frac{1}{\overline{p}}=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{p_i}$. Let $u\in W_0^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}(\Omega)$, then there exists (see [25]) a constant C_S such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}\leq C_S\prod_{i=1}^{N}\|\partial_{x_i}u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{N}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $q = \overline{p}^* = \frac{N\overline{p}}{N-\overline{p}}$ if $\overline{p} < N$ or $q \in [1, +\infty[$ if $\overline{p} \geq N$. On the right-hand side of (2.2) it is possible to replace the geometric mean by the arithmetic mean: let $a_1, ..., a_N$ be positive numbers, it holds

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} a_i^{\frac{1}{N}} \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i, \tag{2.3}$$

which implies by (2.2)

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_S}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\partial_{x_i} u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)} . \tag{2.4}$$

When

$$\overline{p} < N \tag{2.5}$$

hold, inequality (2.4) implies the continuous embedding of the space $W_0^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}(\Omega)$ into $L^q(\Omega)$ for every $q \in [1, \overline{p}^*]$. On the other hand the continuity of the embedding $W_0^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}(\Omega) \subset L^{p_+}(\Omega)$ with $p_+ := \max\{p_1, ..., p_N\}$ relies on inequality (2.1). It may happen that $\overline{p}^* < p_+$ if the exponents p_i are not closed enough, then $p_\infty := \max\{\overline{p}^*, p_+\}$ turns out to be the critical exponent in the anisotropic Sobolev embedding (see [18]).

Proposition 2.1. *If condition (2.5) holds, then for $q \in [1, p_\infty]$ there is a continuous embedding $W_0^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}(\Omega) \subset L^q(\Omega)$. For $q < p_\infty$ the embedding is compact.*

2.2. **Assumptions and Definitions.** We consider the following class of nonlinear anisotropic elliptic homogeneous Dirichlet problems

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_{x_i} a_i(x, u, \nabla u) + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} H_i(x, \nabla u) = f - \partial_{x_i} g_i & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

where Ω is a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ with Lipschitz continuous boundary $\partial\Omega$, $N \geq 2$, $1 < p_1, \ldots, p_N < \infty$ and (2.5) holds.
We assume that $a_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ and $H_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ are Carathéodory functions such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i\left(x, s, \xi\right) \xi_i \geq \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\xi_i|^{p_i} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and a.e. in } \Omega, \tag{2.7}$$

$$|a_i\left(x, s, \xi\right)| \leq \gamma \left[|s|^{\frac{p_\infty}{p_i'}} + |\xi_i|^{p_i - 1} \right], \tag{2.8}$$

$$\left(a_i\left(x, s, \xi\right) - a_i\left(x, s, \xi'\right)\right)\left(\xi_i - \xi_i'\right) > 0 \ \text{ for } \xi_i \neq \xi_i', \tag{2.9}$$

$$|H_i\left(x, \xi\right)| \leq b_i \left|\xi_i\right|^{p_i - 1} \tag{2.10}$$

where b_i, λ, γ are some positive constants for $i = 1, .., N$.
Moreover we suppose that

$$f \in L^{p'_\infty}(\Omega) \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$g_i \in L^{p'_i}(\Omega) \ \text{ for } i = 1, .., N. \tag{2.12}$$

We observe that in (2.10) we can also assume that $b_i \in L^{r_i}(\Omega)$ with $\frac{1}{r_i} = \frac{1}{p'_\infty} - \frac{1}{p'_i}$ for $i = 1, .., N$.

Finally we recall the definition of weak solution. A function $u \in W_0^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to Problem (2.6) if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega [a_i(x,u,\nabla u)\varphi_{x_i} + H_i(x,\nabla u)\varphi] = \int_\Omega \left[f\varphi + \sum_{i=1}^{N} g_i \varphi_{x_i} \right], \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}(\Omega).$$

3. STRONGLY MONOTONE OPERATORS

In this section we consider Problem (2.6) with $H_i \equiv 0$ under the assumptions of strongly monotonicity of the operator and Lipschitz continuity of a_i. More precisely we study the following class of nonlinear anisotropic elliptic homogeneous Dirichlet problems

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_{x_i} a_i(x,u,\nabla u) = f - \partial_{x_i} g_i & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Let us assume that (2.7)-(2.9), (2.11) and (2.12) hold and that functions a_i satisfy

$$\left(a_i(x,s,\xi) - a_i(x,s,\xi')\right)(\xi_i - \xi_i') \geq \alpha \left(\varepsilon + |\xi_i| + |\xi_i'|\right)^{p_i-2} |\xi_i - \xi_i'|^2 \tag{3.2}$$

with $\alpha > 0$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and the following Lipschitz condition

$$|a_i(x,s,\xi) - a_i(x,s',\xi)| \leq \beta \left(\theta + |\xi_i|^{p_i-1}\right) |s - s'| \tag{3.3}$$

with $\beta > 0, \theta \geq 0$ for $i = 1,..,N$.
By the classical Leray-Lions theorem (see [23]) there exists at least a weak solution (see also [22]) to Problem (3.1) as in the isotropic case.

As far as the uniqueness is concerned, we will investigate separately the case when at least one $p_i \leq 2$ and the case when every $p_i > 2$ for $i = 1,..,N$. In this last case as for $p-$Laplace with $p > 2$, there is no uniqueness in general (see the counterexample in [1]). Then assumption (3.2) with $\varepsilon > 0$ seems to be necessary to get a uniqueness result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let us assume $p_i > 2$ for $i = 1,..,N$, (2.5), (2.7), (2.8),(2.11), (2.12), (3.2) with $\varepsilon > 0$ and (3.3). Then there exists a unique weak solution to Problem (3.1).*

Proof. Let u and v be two weak solutions to Problem (3.1). Let us denote $w = (u-v)^+, D = \{x \in \Omega : w > 0\}, D_t = \{x \in D : w < t\}$ for $t \in [0, \sup w[$ and T_t the truncation function at height t. Suppose that D has positive measure. Using $\varphi = \frac{T_t(w)}{t}$ as test function in the difference of the equations, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{D_t} [a_i(x,u,\nabla u) - a_i(x,v,\nabla v)] \partial_{x_i}\varphi \leq 0.$$

For the convenience of the reader we are explicitly writing the sum sign. By (3.2) and (3.3) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{D_t} (\varepsilon + |\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{p_i-2} |\partial_{x_i}\varphi|^2 \leq \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{D_t} \left(\theta + |\partial_{x_i} v|^{p_i-1}\right) |\partial_{x_i}\varphi|. \tag{3.4}$$

Using Young inequality with some $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{D_t}\left(\theta+\left|\partial_{x_i}v\right|^{p_i-1}\right)\left|\partial_{x_i}\varphi\right|\\&\leq \theta\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\int_{D_t}\left|\partial_{x_i}\varphi\right|^2+\frac{1}{4\delta}\left|D_t\right|\right)+\frac{\delta}{2}\int_{D_t}\left(\left|\partial_{x_i}\varphi\right|^2\left|\partial_{x_i}v\right|^{p_i-2}\right)+\frac{1}{4\delta}\int_{D_t}\left|\partial_{x_i}v\right|^{p_i},\end{aligned}$$

then by (3.4), choosing δ small enough, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{D_t}\left(\varepsilon+\left|\partial_{x_i}u\right|+\left|\partial_{x_i}v\right|\right)^{p_i-2}\left|\partial_{x_i}\varphi\right|^2\leq c\left(N\left|D_t\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{D_t}\left|\partial_{x_i}v\right|^{p_i}\right) \tag{3.5}$$

for some positive constant c independent on t. By Young inequality, (2.4) and (3.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}NC_S\left|D\backslash D_t\right|^{1-\frac{1}{N}}&\leq NC_S\left\|\varphi\right\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}(D)}\\&\leq\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{D_t}\left|\partial_{x_i}\varphi\right|\leq\frac{N}{2}\left|D_t\right|+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{D_t}\left|\partial_{x_i}\varphi\right|^2\\&\leq\frac{N}{2}\left|D_t\right|+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p_i-2}}\int_{D_t}\left|\partial_{x_i}\varphi\right|^2\left(\varepsilon+\left|\partial_{x_i}u\right|+\left|\partial_{x_i}v\right|\right)^{p_i-2}\\&\leq\frac{N}{2}\left|D_t\right|+\frac{1}{2}c\left(N\left|D_t\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{D_t}\left|\partial_{x_i}v\right|^{p_i}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The last term tends to zero when t goes to zero; this implies

$$|D|=\lim_{t\to 0}\left|D\backslash D_t\right|=0, \tag{3.6}$$

from which the conclusion follows. □

This approach also works if we replace hypothesis (3.2) by

$$\left(a_i\left(x,s,\xi\right)-a_i\left(x,s,\xi'\right)\right)\left(\xi_i-\xi_i'\right)\geq\alpha\left|\xi_i-\xi_i'\right|^{p_i}\quad \alpha>0 \tag{3.7}$$

and hypothesis (3.3) by

$$\left|a_i\left(x,s,\xi\right)-a_i\left(x,s',\xi\right)\right|\leq\beta\left(\theta+\left|\xi_i\right|^{p_i-1}+\left(|s|+|s'|\right)^{q_i}\right)|s-s'| \tag{3.8}$$

for some $q_i > 0$, $\beta > 0, \theta > 0$ for $i = 1, .., N$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let us assume* $p_i > 2$ *for* $i = 1, .., N$, *(2.5), (2.7), (2.8),(2.11), (2.12), (3.7) and (3.8) with* $0 < q_i \leq \frac{p_\infty}{p_i}$ *Then there exists a unique weak solution to Problem (3.1).*

Proof. We argue as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 taking into account the following extra term in (3.4)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{D_t}\left(|u|+|v|\right)^{q_i}\left|\partial_{x_i}\varphi\right|.$$

Using (3.7) and (3.8) instead of (3.2) and (3.3) respectively, we obtain

$$\alpha\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{D_t}\left|\partial_{x_i}\varphi\right|^{p_i}\leq\beta\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{D_t}\left(\theta+\left|\partial_{x_i}v\right|^{p_i-1}\right)\left|\partial_{x_i}\varphi\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{D_t}\left(|u|+|v|\right)^{q_i}\left|\partial_{x_i}\varphi\right|.$$

Using Young inequality with some $\delta > 0$ and choosing δ small enough, we obtain the analogue of (3.5)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{D_t} |\partial_{x_i} \varphi|^{p_i} \leq c \left(N \, |D_t| + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{D_t} |\partial_{x_i} v|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{D_t} (|u| + |v|)^{p_i q_i} \right), \quad (3.9)$$

for some positive constant c independent on t. Since $0 < q_i \leq \frac{p_\infty}{p_i}$, (3.9) allows us to conclude. □

Remark 3.3. *If in Theorem 3.2 we assume (3.2) holds instead of (3.7), we can take* $0 < q_i \leq \frac{p_\infty}{2}$. *Moreover Theorem 3.2 holds if we replace (3.8) by*

$$|a_i(x, s, \xi) - a_i(x, s', \xi)| \leq \beta \left(\theta + |\xi_i|^{p_i - 1} + (|s| + |s'|)^{q_i} \right) \omega(|s - s'|)$$

for $i = 1, .., N$, *where* $\omega : [0, +\infty[\, \to [0, +\infty[$ *is such that* $\omega(s) \leq s$ *for* $0 \leq s \leq \rho$ *for some* $\rho > 0$.

Now we study Problem (3.1) when at least one p_i is less or equal to 2 and $\varepsilon = 0$ in (3.2). We argue as in Theorem 3.1 by using Poincaré inequality (2.1) instead of inequality (2.4). The following result is obtained by a different proof in [2] (see Theorem 2.1).

Theorem 3.4. *Let us assume (2.5), (2.7), (2.8),(2.11), (2.12), (3.2) with* $\varepsilon = 0$ *and (3.3) with* $\theta = 0$. *If at least one* p_i *is less or equal to* 2, *then there exists a unique weak solution to Problem (3.1).*

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{D_t} |\partial_{x_i} \varphi|^2 \left(|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v| \right)^{p_i - 2} \leq \beta \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{D_t} |\partial_{x_i} v|^{p_i - 1} \, |\partial_{x_i} \varphi| \, . \quad (3.10)$$

By Young inequality with some $\delta > 0$ we get

$$\int_{D_t} |\partial_{x_i} v|^{p_i - 1} |\partial_{x_i} \varphi| \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{D_t} |\partial_{x_i} \varphi|^2 \left(|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v| \right)^{p_i - 2} + \frac{1}{4\delta} \int_{D_t} \left(|\partial_{x_i} v| + |\partial_{x_i} v| \right)^{p_i} . \quad (3.11)$$

Putting (3.11) in (3.10) and choosing δ small enough we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{D_t} |\partial_{x_i} \varphi|^2 \left(|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v| \right)^{p_i - 2} \leq c_1 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{D_t} \left(|\partial_{x_i} v| + |\partial_{x_i} v| \right)^{p_i} \quad (3.12)$$

for some positive constant c_1 independent on t. Let $p_j \leq 2$. Using Poincaré inequality (2.1), Young inequality and (3.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_P \, |D \backslash D_t| &\leq \frac{c_2}{2} \left[\int_{D_t} \frac{\left| \partial_{x_j} \varphi \right|^2}{\left(\left| \partial_{x_j} u \right| + \left| \partial_{x_j} v \right| \right)^{2 - p_j}} + \int_{D_t} \left(\left| \partial_{x_j} v \right| + \left| \partial_{x_j} v \right| \right)^{2 - p_j} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{c_1 c_2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{D_t} \left(|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v| \right)^{p_i} + \frac{c_2}{2} \int_{D_t} \left(\left| \partial_{x_j} u \right| + \left| \partial_{x_j} v \right| \right)^{2 - p_j} \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant c_2 independent on t. As in Theorem 3.1, condition (3.6) follows, then the assert holds. □

Remark 3.5. *Theorem 3.4 also holds if we replace (3.3) by*

$$\left|a_i\left(x,s,\xi\right)-a_i\left(x,s',\xi\right)\right|\leq\beta\left(\left|\xi_i\right|^{p_i-1}\right)\omega(|s-s'|)\qquad\beta>0$$

for $i=1,..,N$, where is like in Remark 3.3. Moreover if we suppose that every $p_i<2$ Theorem 3.4 holds with $\theta=0$ in (3.3). Finally we stress that Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4 hold if in Problem (3.1) we add the term $c(x,u)$ with suitable hypotheses (for example c is an increasing function with respect to u).

4. Operators with a first order term

In this section we consider Problem (2.6) when the functions a_i do not depend on u. More precisely under the assumptions (2.7)-(2.12) we consider the following class of nonlinear anisotropic homogeneous Dirichlet problems:

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_{x_i}a_i(x,\nabla u)+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N}H_i(x,\nabla u)=f-\partial_{x_i}g & \text{in} \quad \Omega\\ u=0 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega.\end{cases}\tag{4.1}$$

4.1. **An existence result for Problem (4.1).** In this section we prove the existence of at least a weak solution to Problem (4.1). To our knowledge this result could not be found in literature.

The coercivity of the operator is guaranteed only if the norms of b_i are small enough. As usual we consider the approximate problems. Let $H_n^i(x,\nabla u)$ be the truncation at levels $\pm n$ of H_i. It is well known (see e.g. [23]) that there exists a weak solution $u_n\in W_0^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}(\Omega)$ to problem

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_{x_i}a_i(x,\nabla u_n)+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N}H_n^i(x,\nabla u_n)=f-\partial_{x_i}g_i & \text{in} \quad \Omega\\ \qquad u=0 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega.\end{cases}\tag{4.2}$$

The first and crucial step is an a priori estimate of u_n. For the convenience of the reader we are writing explicitly the sum sign.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that (2.5), (2.7)-(2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) hold and let $u_n\in W_0^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}(\Omega)$ be a solution to Problem (4.2). Then we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_\Omega|\partial_{x_i}u_n|^{p_i}\leq C,\tag{4.3}$$

for some positive constant C depending on $N,\Omega,\lambda,\gamma,p_i,b_i,\|f\|_{L^{p_\infty}(\Omega)},\|g_i\|_{L^{p_i'}(\Omega)}$ for $i=1,..,N$.

Proof. In what follows we do not explicitly write the dependence on n. The technique developed in [8] allows us to avoid the assumption on smallness of $\|b_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$. Let A be a positive real number, that will be chosen later. Then there exists t measurable subsets $\Omega_1,...,\Omega_t$ of Ω and t functions $u_1,...,u_t$ such that $\Omega_i\cap\Omega_j=\emptyset$ for $i\neq j$,$|\Omega_t|\leq A$ and $|\Omega_s|=A$ for $s\in\{1,..,t-1\},\{x\in\Omega:|\nabla u_s|\neq 0\}\subset\Omega_s,\nabla u=\nabla u_s$ a.e. in $\Omega_s,\nabla\left(u_1+...+u_s\right)u_s=\left(\nabla u\right)u_s, u_1+...+u_s=u$ in Ω and sign(u) =sign(u_s) if $u_s\neq 0$ for $s\in\{1,..,t\}$.

Let us fix $s \in \{1, .., t\}$ and let us use u_s as test function in Problem (4.2). Using (2.7), Young and Hölder inequalities and Proposition 2.1 we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_\Omega |\partial_{x_i} u_s|^{p_i} \leq c_1 \left(\|f\|_{L^{p'_\infty}} d_s^{\frac{1}{N}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_\Omega \left|H^i(x,\nabla u)\right| |u_s| + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|g_i\|_{L^{p'_i}}^{p'_i} \right) \tag{4.4}$$

for some constant $c_1 > 0$, where $d_s = \prod_i \left(\int_\Omega |\partial_{x_i} u_s|^{p_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}$. Here and in what follows the constants depend on the data but not on the function u.

Using condition (2.10), Hölder and Young inequalities and Proposition 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega H^i(x,\nabla u) u_s \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i \int_\Omega |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i - 1} |u_s| \qquad (4.5)\\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s} \int_{\Omega_\sigma} |\partial_{x_i} u_\sigma|^{p_i-1} |u_s| \\
&\leq c_2 \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{\sigma=1}^{s} \left[\int_{\Omega_\sigma} |\partial_{x_i} u_\sigma|^{p_i} |\Omega_\sigma|^{\frac{1}{p_i}-\frac{1}{p_\infty}} + d_s^{\frac{p_i}{N}} |\Omega_\sigma|^{\frac{1}{p_i}-\frac{1}{p_\infty}} \right] \\
&\leq c_2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} A^{\frac{1}{p_i}-\frac{1}{p_\infty}} \left[\int_{\Omega_s} |\partial_{x_i} u_s|^{pi} + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s-1} \int_{\Omega_\sigma} |\partial_{x_i} u_\sigma|^{p_i} + d_s^{\frac{p_i}{N}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c_2 > 0$. Putting (4.5) in (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega |\partial_{x_i} u_s|^{p_i} \leq c_1 \Bigg\{ & \|f\|_{L^{p'_\infty}} d_s^{\frac{1}{N}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|g_i\|_{L^{p'_i}}^{p'_i} + \qquad (4.6)\\
& c_2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} A^{\frac{1}{p_i}-\frac{1}{p_\infty}} \left[\int_{\Omega_s} |\partial_{x_i} u_s|^{pi} + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s-1} \int_{\Omega_\sigma} |\partial_{x_i} u_\sigma|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_s^{\frac{p_i}{N}} \right] \Bigg\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If A is such that

$$1 - c_1 c_2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} A^{\frac{1}{p_i}-\frac{1}{p_\infty}} > 0, \tag{4.7}$$

inequality (4.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega |\partial_{x_i} u_s|^{p_i} \leq c_3 \Bigg\{ & \|f\|_{L^{p'_\infty}} d_s^{\frac{1}{N}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|g_i\|_{L^{p'_i}}^{p'_i} + \qquad (4.8)\\
& \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N} A^{\frac{1}{p_i}-\frac{1}{p_\infty}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega_\sigma} \left|\partial_{x_j} u_\sigma\right|^{p_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} A^{\frac{1}{p_i}-\frac{1}{p_\infty}} d_s^{\frac{p_i}{N}} \Bigg\}
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c_3 > 0$. For $s = 1$ we get

$$\int_\Omega |\partial_{x_i} u_1|^{p_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega |\partial_{x_i} u_1|^{p_i} \leq c_3 \left[\|f\|_{L^{p'_\infty}} d_1^{\frac{1}{N}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|g_i\|_{L^{p'_i}}^{p'_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} A^{\frac{1}{p_i}-\frac{1}{p_\infty}} d_1^{\frac{p_i}{N}} \right]. \tag{4.9}$$

Let us choose A such that (4.7) and

$$1 - c_3 \sum_{i=1}^{N} A^{\frac{N}{p_i}\left(\frac{1}{p_i}-\frac{1}{p_\infty}\right)} > 0$$

hold. For example we can take $A < \min \left\{ 1, \left(\frac{1}{2c_3}\right)^{\frac{1}{\min\limits_{i=1,..N}\left\{\frac{1}{p_i}-\frac{1}{p_\infty}\right\}}}, \left(\frac{1}{2c_3}\right)^{\frac{1}{\min\limits_{i=1,..N}\left\{\frac{N}{p_i}\left(\frac{1}{p_i}-\frac{1}{p_\infty}\right)\right\}}} \right\}$.
By this choice we obtain

$$d_1 = \prod_i \left(\int_\Omega |\partial_{x_i} u_1|^{p_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \leq c_4 \left[\left(\|f\|_{L^{p'_\infty}}^{\frac{N}{\overline{p}}} + \|\nu_2\|_{L^{p'_\infty}}^{\frac{N}{\overline{p}}}\right) d_1^{\frac{1}{\overline{p}}} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|g_i\|_{L^{p'_i}}^{p'_i}\right].$$

Then there exists a constant $c_5 > 0$ such that $d_1 \leq c_5$ and by (4.9) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_\Omega |\partial_{x_i} u_1|^{p_i} \leq c_6 \tag{4.10}$$

for some constant $c_6 > 0$. Moreover using (4.10) in (4.8) and iterating on s we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_\Omega |\partial_{x_i} u_s|^{p_i} \leq c_7 \left[\|f\|_{L^{p'_\infty}} d_s^{\frac{1}{N}} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|g_i\|_{L^{p'_i}}^{p'_i} + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\frac{1}{p_i}-\frac{1}{p_\infty}} d_1^{\frac{p_i}{N}}\right],$$

then arguing as before we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_\Omega |\partial_{x_i} u_s|^{p_i} \leq c_8 \tag{4.11}$$

for some constant $c_8 > 0$. The assertion follows immediately since $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)} \leq k\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{s=1}^t \int_\Omega |\partial_{x_i} u_s|^{p_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}$ for some positive $k > 0$. □

Now we are able to prove the following existence result.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that (2.5), (2.7)-(2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) hold, then there exists at least a weak solution to Problem (4.1).*

Proof. We give only a sketch of the proof, because it is standard. By (4.3) the sequence $\partial_{x_i} u_n$ is bounded in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$ so we have that

$$\partial_{x_i} u_n \rightharpoonup \partial_{x_i} u \text{ weakly in } L^{p_i}(\Omega) \quad \text{for } i = 1, ..., N, \tag{4.12}$$

$$u_n \to u \text{ strongly in } L^{p_-}(\Omega) \text{ with } p_- = \min\{p_1, .., p_N\} \tag{4.13}$$

for some u and for some subsequence, which we still denote by u_n. We can argue as in [7] to prove

$$\partial_{x_i} u_n \to \partial_{x_i} u \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \quad \text{for } i = 1, ..., N. \tag{4.14}$$

Using convergence (4.14) we have for $i = 1, ..., N$

$$\begin{cases} a_i(x, \nabla u_n) \to a_i(x, \nabla u) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \\ H_n^i(x, \nabla u_n) \to H_i(x, \nabla u) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Moreover by (2.8) and (2.10) for any $q_i \in [1, p'_i[$ we have

$$\int_E |a_i(x, \nabla u_n)|^{q_i} \leq c \left[\left(\int_E |u(x)|^{p_\infty}\right)^{\frac{q_i}{p'_i}} + \left(\int_E |\partial_{x_i} u(x)|^{p_i}\right)^{\frac{q_i}{p'_i}}\right] |E|^{1-\frac{q_i}{p'_i}}.$$

and

$$\int_E \left|H_n^i(x, \nabla u_n)\right|^{q_i} \leq c \left(\int_E |\partial_{x_i} u(x)|^{p_i}\right)^{\frac{q_i}{p'_i}} |E|^{1-\frac{q_i}{p'_i}}$$

for some positive constant c, for $i = 1, ..., N$ and for any measurable subset E. Then Vitali Theorem assures

$$a_i(x, \nabla u_n) \to a_i(x, \nabla u) \text{ and } H_n^i(x, \nabla u_n) \to H_i(x, \nabla u) \text{ strongly in } L^{q_i}(\Omega)$$

for $q_i \in [1, p_i'[$, that allow us to pass to the limit in the approximate problems. $\square$

Remark 4.3. *The last theorem still holds if in (2.10) we assume* $b_i \in L^{r_i}(\Omega)$ *with* $\frac{1}{r_i} = \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}$ *for* $i = 1, .., N$.

4.2. **Some uniqueness results for Problem (4.1).** The first uniqueness result is obtained when every p_i is not greater than 2 assuming the following Lipschitz condition on H_i

$$|H_i(x, \xi) - H_i(x, \xi')| \le h \frac{|\xi_i - \xi_i'|}{(\eta + |\xi_i| + |\xi_i'|)^{\sigma_i}} \tag{4.15}$$

for some constants $h > 0$, $\eta > 0$ and $\sigma_i > 0$ for $i = 1, ..., N$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let* $1 < p_i \le 2$ *if* $N = 2$, $\frac{2N}{N+2} \le p_i \le 2$ *if* $N \ge 3$ *and* $\sigma_i \ge 1 - \frac{p_i}{2}$ *for* $i = 1, ..., N$. *Let us assume (2.5), (2.7)-(2.12), (3.2) with* $\varepsilon = 0$ *and (4.15) with* $\eta > 0$. *Then there exists a unique weak solution to Problem (4.1).*

Proof. Let us suppose u and v are two weak solutions to Problem (4.1) and denote $w = (u - v)^+$ and $E_t = \{x \in \Omega : t < w < \sup w\}$ for $t \in [0, \sup w[$. We use

$$w_t = \begin{cases} w(x) - t & \text{if } w(x) > t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

as test function in the difference of the equations. Strong monotonicity (3.2) with $\varepsilon = 0$ and the Lipschitz condition (4.15) with $\eta > 0$ give

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{E_t} \frac{|\partial_{x_i} w_t|^2}{(|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{2-p_i}} \le \frac{h}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{E_t} \frac{|\partial_{x_i} w_t|\, w_t}{(\eta + |\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{\sigma_i}}$$

Since $\sigma_i \ge 1 - \frac{p_i}{2}$ by Young inequality and some easy computations we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{E_t} \frac{|\partial_{x_i} w_t|^2}{(|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{2-p_i}} \le c \int_{E_t} w_t^2 \tag{4.16}$$

for some positive constant c independent on t. Moreover by (2.2) and Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C_S} \left(\int_{E_t} w_t^2 \right)^{\frac12} &\le \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{E_t} |\partial_{x_i} w_t|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} \right)^{\frac{N+2}{2N^2}} \\ &\le \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{E_t} \frac{|\partial_{x_i} w_t|^2}{(|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{2-p_i}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2N}} \left(\int_{E_t} (|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{(2-p_i)\frac{N}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{N^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

then by (2.3) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{C_S^2} \int_{E_t} w_t^2 \le N^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{E_t} \frac{|\partial_{x_i} w_t|^2}{(|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{2-p_i}} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{E_t} (|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{(2-p_i)\frac{N}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{N}} .$$

Finally using (4.16) we get

$$\frac{1}{C_S^2} \le cN^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\int_{E_t} (|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{(2-p_i)\frac{N}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{N}}.$$

Since $(2-p_i)\,\frac{N}{2} \le p_i$ we have

$$\lim_{t \to \sup w} \int_{E_t} (|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{(2-p_i)\frac{N}{2}} = 0,$$

that gives a contradiction. □

The second result is obtained when every p_i is greater than 2 but $\varepsilon > 0$ in (3.2) and we assume the following Lipschitz condition on H_i

$$|H_i(x,\xi) - H_i(x,\xi')| \le h_i(x)\,(|\xi_i| + |\xi_i'|)^{\sigma_i}\,|\xi_i - \xi_i'| \tag{4.17}$$

with $\sigma_i \ge 0$, $h_i \in L^{s_i}(\Omega)$ and $s_i \ge \frac{p_\infty p_i}{p_\infty - p_i}$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let us suppose*

$$N \ge 3,\ \ 2 \le p_i \le \frac{2Ns_i}{Ns_i - 2s_i - 2N},$$

$$s_i \ge \max\left\{N, \frac{p_\infty p_i}{p_\infty - p_i}\right\} \ \textit{and}\ 0 \le \sigma_i \le \frac{p_i}{N} - \frac{p_i}{s_i} + \frac{p_i - 2}{2}$$

for $i = 1, ..., N$. Let us assume (2.5), (2.7)-(2.12), (3.2) with $\varepsilon > 0$ and (4.17). Then there exists a unique weak solution to Problem (4.1).

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.4 we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{E_t}|\partial_{x_i}w_t|^2(\varepsilon+|\partial_{x_i}u|+|\partial_{x_i}v|)^{p_i-2}\le\frac{1}{\alpha}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{E_t}h_i(|\partial_{x_i}u|+|\partial_{x_i}v|)^{\sigma_i}|\partial_{x_i}w_t|w_t. \tag{4.18}$$

If $\sigma_i \ge \frac{p_i-2}{2}$ by Young inequality we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{E_t}|\partial_{x_i}w_t|^2(\varepsilon+|\partial_{x_i}u|+|\partial_{x_i}v|)^{p_i-2}\le c_1\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{E_t}h_i^2(|\partial_{x_i}u|+|\partial_{x_i}v|)^{2\sigma_i-(p_i-2)}\,w_t^2 \tag{4.19}$$

for some positive constant c_1 independent on t. Using inequalities (2.2) and (2.3), Hölder inequality and (4.19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{C_S}\left(\int_{E_t} w_t^{2^*}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} &\le \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(\int_{E_t}|\partial_{x_i}w_t|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \le c_2\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(\int_{E_t}|\partial_{x_i}w_t|^2(\varepsilon+|\partial_{x_i}u|+|\partial_{x_i}v|)^{p_i-2}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\\ &\le c_2\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{E_t} h_i^2\,(|\partial_{x_i}u|+|\partial_{x_i}v|)^{2\sigma_i-(p_i-2)}\,w_t^2\\ &\le c_2\left(\int_{E_t} w_t^{2^*}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\int_{E_t} h_i^N\,(|\partial_{x_i}u|+|\partial_{x_i}v|)^{(2\sigma_i-p_i+2)\frac{N}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{N}},\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{1}{C_S} \le c_2\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\int_{E_t} h_i^N\,(|\partial_{x_i}u|+|\partial_{x_i}v|)^{(2\sigma_i-p_i+2)\frac{N}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{N}}$$

for some positive constant c_2 (independent on t), that can be vary from line to line. Since $\frac{N}{s_i} + \frac{(2\sigma_i - p_i + 2)}{p_i}\frac{N}{2} \leq 1$ we have

$$\lim_{t \to \sup w} \int_{E_t} h_i^N \left(|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|\right)^{(2\sigma_i - p_i + 2)\frac{N}{2}} = 0,$$

that gives a contradiction.
Conversely if $\sigma_i < \frac{p_i - 2}{2}$ by (4.18) and Young inequality we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{E_t} |\partial_{x_i} w_t|^2 \leq c_3 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{E_t} h_i^2 \left(|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|\right)^{2\sigma_i} w_t^2 \tag{4.20}$$

for some positive constant c_3 independent on t. Using inequalities (2.2) and (2.3), Hölder inequality and (4.20) as before we obtain

$$\frac{1}{C_S} \leq c_4 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\int_{E_t} h_i^N \left(|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|\right)^{N\sigma_i} \right)^{\frac{2}{N}}$$

for some positive constant c_4 independent on t. Since $\frac{N}{s_i} + \frac{\sigma_i N}{p_i} \leq 1$ the assert. □

Remark 4.6. *We observe that for* $p_i > \frac{2Ns_i}{Ns_i - 2s_i - 2N}$, *Theorem 4.5 holds for* $0 \leq \sigma_i \leq \frac{p_i}{N} - \frac{p_i}{s_i}$ *or* $\frac{p_i - 2}{2} \leq \sigma_i \leq \frac{p_i}{N} - \frac{p_i}{s_i} + \frac{p_i - 2}{2}$. *Moreover Theorems 4.4 and 4.5 hold if in (4.1) we add the term* $c(x, u)$ *as in Remark 3.5.*

References

[1] A. Alvino, M.F. Betta, A. Mercaldo, *Comparison principle for some classes of nonlinear elliptic equations*, J. Differential Equations 249 (2010), n.12, 3279–3290.

[2] S. Antontsev, M. Chipot, *Anisotropic equations: uniqueness and existence results,* Differential Integral Equations 21 (2008), n.5-6, 401–419.

[3] M.F. Betta, A. Mercaldo, F. Murat, M.M. Porzio, *Existence and uniqueness results for nonlinear elliptic problems with a lower order term and measure datum*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 334 (2002), n.9, 757–762.

[4] M.F. Betta, A. Mercaldo, *Comparison and regularity results for a nonlinear elliptic equation*, Nonlinear Anal. 20 (1993), n.1, 63–77.

[5] M.F. Betta, A. Mercaldo, F. Murat, M.M. Porzio, *Uniqueness results for nonlinear elliptic equations with a lower order term*, Nonlinear Anal. 63 (2005), n.2, 153–170.

[6] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouet, P. Marcellini, *Anisotropic equations in L ˆ1*, Differential Integral Equations 9 (1996), n.1, 209–212.

[7] L. Boccardo, F. Murat, *Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 19 (1992), n.6, 581–597.

[8] G. Bottaro, M. Marina, *Problema di Dirichlet per equazioni ellittiche di tipo variazionale su insiemi non limitati*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 8 (1973), 46–56.

[9] J. Casado-Díaz, F. Murat, A. Porretta, *Uniqueness results for pseudomonotone problems with p>2,* C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 344 (2007), n.8, 487–492.

[10] F. Chiacchio, *Regularity for solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations with natural growth in the gradient,* Bull. Sci. Math. 124 (2000), n. 1, 57-74.

[11] A. Cianchi, *Symmetrization in anisotropic elliptic problems*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 32 (2007), n.4-6, 693–717.

[12] T. Del Vecchio, M. M. Porzio, *Existence results for a class of non-coercive Dirichlet problems*, Ricerche Mat. 44 (1995), n.2, 421–438 (1996).

[13] T. Del Vecchio, M. R. Posteraro, *An existence result for nonlinear and noncoercive problems*, Nonlinear Anal. 31 (1998), n.1-2, 191–206.

[14] A. Di Castro, *Existence and regularity results for anisotropic elliptic problems*, Adv.Nonlinear Stud. 9 (2009), 367–393

[15] R. Di Nardo, F. Feo, O. Guibé, *Existence result for nonlinear parabolic equations with lower order terms*, Anal. Appl. 9 (2011), n.2, 161–186.

[16] R. Di Nardo, F. Feo, O. Guibé, *Uniqueness of renormalized solutions to nonlinear parabolic problems with lower order terms,* to appear on Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A.

[17] R. Di Nardo, F. Feo, O. Guibé, *Uniqueness result for nonlinear anisotropic elliptic equations*, to apper on Adv. Differential Equations.

[18] I. Fragalà, F. Gazzola, B. Kawohl, *Existence and nonexistence results for anisotropic quasilinear elliptic equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 21 (2004), n.5, 715–734.

[19] O. Guibé, A. Mercaldo, *Existence and stability results for renormalized solutions to noncoercive nonlinear elliptic equations with measure data*, Potential Anal. 25 (2006), n.3, 223–258.

[20] O. Guibé, A. Mercaldo, *Existence of renormalized solutions to nonlinear elliptic equations with two lower order terms and measure data,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), n.2, 643–669.

[21] O. Guibé, A. Mercaldo, *Uniqueness results for noncoercive nonlinear elliptic equations with two lower order terms*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 7 (2008), n.1, 163–192.

[22] F. Li, *Anisotropic elliptic equations in L ˆm,* J. Convex Anal. 8 (2001), n.2, 417–422.

[23] J. Leray, J. L. Lions, *Quelques résultats de Višik sur les problèmes elliptiques nonlinéaires par les méthodes de Minty-Browder* (French) - Bull. Soc. Math. France 93 (1965), 97–107.

[24] A. Porretta, On the comparison principle for p -Laplace type operators with first order terms. On the notions of solution to nonlinear elliptic problems: results and developments, Quad. Mat. 23 (2008), 459–497.

[25] M. Troisi, *Teoremi di inclusione per spazi di Sobolev non isotropi*, Ricerche Mat. 18 (1969), 3–24.

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "R. Caccioppoli", Universitá degli Studi di Napoli Federico II,, Complesso Universitario Monte S. Angelo, via Cintia - Napoli
E-mail address: `rosaria.dinardo@unina.it`

Dipartimento per le Tecnologie, Universitá degli Studi di Napoli Parthenope, Centro Direzionale, Isola C4 - Napoli
E-mail address: `filomena.feo@uniparthenope.it`